amendment and I'm going to vote against it. But I'm not going to give all of my arguments against it. So I want it clear that my vote is against the amendment and not against the process. This process is what, if I were in Senator Kristensen's position, I would use also. But if I were in Senator Kristensen's position and I were me, I would fail miserably, so I hope that, although Senator Kristensen's in Senator Kristensen's position, I hope you'll look at him as though he were me and treat him accordingly, which means he won't get 40 votes.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Madam President, former Madam Attorney General I would like to speak at least to this particular motion. I have not... I did not, last year, support LR 4CA, and I was not here on the vote that took place. But I'll be voting to support this particular motion and also voting this time to put it on the ballot. It's just ... I agree with Senator Kristensen, it's a matter of timing as far as when do you want it on the ballot. I will say though I think there can be some advantages in not having it on the ballot in the first election coming up. And I say that understanding that this is what Senator Kristensen would like to do with this bill, Senator Pirsch would like to do it on her bill. So I will yield to the wishes of the primary sponsors of the bill. So I'll vote to But also think we're going to have...we may have 6CA on the ballot. We don't know what other things we're going to have on the ballot. And at some point you start getting so many things on the ballot I really am concerned about population overload. I'm not sure that at some point you're going to have the public looking at all of these things and just saying, no, there's just too many things, just vote no all across. probably should have used a different phrase than population overload. I can see that now, Senator Bohlke and Senator Hudkins. But nonetheless, I do think we want to be careful with the idea of what...how many we put on a ballot on one election and how many we may want to stagger to give the public a chance to look at all of them in the same manner. But if this is what the sponsors of the bill would like to do, I certainly will give them the courtesy and vote that way. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Is there any further discussion on the motion to reconsider? Seeing none, Senator Avery, do you wish to close?